

From: [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)
To: [Tracy, Mary](#)
Subject: FW: APR 26, Mandatory Malpractice Insurance Amendment
Date: Thursday, February 20, 2020 9:33:14 AM

From: stanley bonner [mailto:lifelongspastic01@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2020 9:28 AM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: re: APR 26, Mandatory Malpractice Insurance Amendment

Dear Ms. Carlson:

It is asserted that solo practitioners and small firms pose a risk to the public. This assertion is permeated with class bias.

The prosecutors and judges who would be exempt from this amendment, can inflict far more harm on the public than the average solo practitioner or small law firm.

In addition, why should corporate attorneys be exempt from this proposed amendment?

The members of the WSBA have made our feelings clear on this subject. We are overwhelmingly opposed to the imposition of mandatory malpractice insurance.

Thank you for giving me a chance to comment.

Stanley D. Bonner, WSBA #22604